

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
APPLICATION NO.	FICING DATE		BAY-210	1504	
08/887,847	07/03/1997	? BAYCHAR	BA 1-210		
7590 09/11/2002			EXAM	INER	
	FAY SHARPE BEALL FAGAN				
104 EAST HUME AVENUE ALEXANDRIA, VA 22301			SINGH, ARTI R	ARTI R	
ALLMINDIC	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771	22	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AS
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		08/887,847	BAYCHAR, ?
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ms. Arti R. Singl	h 1771
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover	r sheet with the correspondence address
Dariad fa	r Reniv		
THE N - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut The period by the Office later than three months after the mailing The patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how bly within the statutory min will apply and will expire	ever, may a reply be timely filed  nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	December 2001	
1)🛛		his action is non-	final.
2a)⊠	ITIIS action is involved and the for allow	vance except for f	formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
3)	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r Ex parte Quayle	, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
DISPOSIT	ion of Claims Claim(s)	n the application.	
4)[2]	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from conside	eration.
e\[_]	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8,11 and 14-30</u> is/are allowed.		
5)[	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
6)[_	and the second s		
7) 🗆	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requir	rement.
	tion Papers	•	
اره ا	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the Examiner.
	not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.00(a).
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊡ appro	ved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
11,2	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office	action.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Driority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	1 Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	eceived.
	2 Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been re	eceived in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents	; have been received in this National Stage le 17.2(a)).
*	Con the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified	Copies not received.
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)
15)[∑	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional applic estic priority unde	auon has been received. ar 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachm			Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	(s) <u>19 &amp; 21</u> . 6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:

Application/Control Number: 08/887,847

Art Unit: 1771

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed on 12/18/2001. Per response the title has been amended, claims 7, 10 & 13 have been cancelled, claims 1, 19, 20 & 21 have been amended, and new claims 27-40, not 27-39- have been added. It appears that a typographical error occurred on Applicant's part and there were 2 claim 34's. Under Rule 126 the claims were renumbered correctly and thus the new claims are 27-40 instead of 27-39. Therefore, at this time in the prosecution the pending claims in the Application are 1-5, 8, 11 and 14-40. The amendment overcomes the previously made rejections.

The USPTO acknowledges that Applicant has informed the USPTO of the ongoing litigation involved with USPN 6,048,810 (Baychar Inc. et al. v. Frisby Technologies inc. et al. Civil Docket No. CV-01-28-B). However, no papers have been received as of yet. The IDS's provided from this litigation have been reviewed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 35-40 are indefinite for the use of tradename, Lycra in the claims. Where a trademark or tradename is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 USC 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. See Ex Parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020. The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or tradename cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 08/887,847 Art Unit: 1771 Claims 31, 32, 35, 36, 37, 39 & 40 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as 4. being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 31 recites the limitation "inner moisture transfer composite" in the preamble of 5. the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The previous language used for the preamble was "moisture transfer system", thus it is indefinite. Claim 32 recites the limitation "moisture transfer composite" in the preamble of the 6. claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The previous language used in the preamble was "moisture transfer system, therefore there is a lack in antecedent basis. Claim 35, 36, 37, 39, 40 recites the limitation "the liner according to claim..." in the 7. first line of all of the aforesaid claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. None of these claims depend from Claim 19, which does have the limitation of a liner. Thus the claim is incomplete and therefore indefinite. Claim 33 recites the limitation "inner moisture vapor transfer layer" is indefinite 8. because the Examiner is unsure whether Applicant intends to claim the single layer of the liner or the entire liner as set forth in Claim 19. Claim 34 recites the limitation "inner moisture transfer layer" is deemed indefinites as 9. the Examiner is unsure as to whether Applicant is claiming the single layer of the moisture transfer composite or the entire moisture transfer composite as set forth in Claim 27. Allowable Subject Matter Claims 1-5, 8, 11, 14-18, 19-26, 27-30 are allowed. As indicated in the last office 10. action 14-18 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 08/887,847

Art Unit: 1771

11. Claims 31-40 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Arti R. Singh whose telephone number is 703-305-0291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-873-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Ms. Arti R. Singh Patent Examiner Art Unit 1771

ars

September 9, 2002

CHERYLA. JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER